GILBERTO GUERRA, III,	§	
	§	
Petitioner,	§	
	§	
versus	§ C	IVIL ACTION NO. 1:21-CV-17

EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

WARDEN, FCI BEAUMONT LOW,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Respondent.

MEMORANDUM ORDER OVERRULING PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS AND ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner Gilberto Guerra, III, an inmate confined at the Federal Correctional Complex in Beaumont, Texas, proceeding *pro se*, brought this petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241.

The court referred this matter to the Honorable Keith F. Giblin, United States Magistrate Judge, at Beaumont, Texas, for consideration pursuant to applicable laws and orders of this court. The magistrate judge recommends that the above-styled petition should be dismissed for failing to exhaust administrative remedies.

The court has received and considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge, along with the record, pleadings and all available evidence. Petitioner filed objections to the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation. This requires a *de novo* review of the objections in relation to the pleadings and the applicable law. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b).

Petitioner contends he has not been awarded time credits toward the completion of his sentence as provided under 18 U.S.C. § 3632(d)(4). Petitioner claims he should not be required to exhaust administrative remedies in this situation because attempting to do so would be futile.

After careful consideration, the court concludes petitioner's objections are without merit

and should be overruled. Generally, a federal prisoner must exhaust his administrative remedies

before seeking habeas relief in federal court under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. See Fuller v. Rich, 11 F.3d

61, 62 (5th Cir. 1994); Rourke v. Thompson, 11 F.3d 47, 49 (5th Cir. 1993). Exceptions to the

exhaustion requirement apply only in "extraordinary circumstances" when "administrative

remedies either are unavailable or wholly inappropriate to the relief sought, or where the attempt

to exhaust such remedies would itself be a patently futile course of action." Fuller, 11 F.3d at 62.

Further, the petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating the futility of administrative review. *Id*.

Petitioner does not contend he has completed the administrative remedy process that is

available to him. While he asserts that completing the process would be futile, he provides no

evidence in support of his assertion. Petitioner has failed to explain why the Bureau of Prisons

would not consider his request for administrative remedy in good faith and correct any error it has

made in calculating his sentence. Petitioner's objections are therefore without merit.

ORDER

Accordingly, petitioner's objections are **OVERRULED**. The findings of fact and

conclusions of law of the magistrate judge are correct, and the report of the magistrate judge is

ADOPTED. A final judgment will be entered in this case in accordance with the magistrate

judge's recommendation.

SIGNED at Beaumont, Texas, this 11th day of May, 2021.

MARCIA A. CRONE

Maria a. Crono

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE